



SLUM REHABILITATION AUTHORITY

BEFORE THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER,
SLUM REHABILITATION AUTHORITY

Shree Ganesh Heramb CHS (Prop.)
CTS No.61, 61/200 to 426 of Mauje Majas,
Taluka Andheri, 1/2, Deep Narayan Tiwari Chawl,
Tilakwadi, Opp. Shivsena Shakha, Meghwadi Naka,
Jogeshwari (East), Mumbai 400 060

V/s



1. M/s. Prime Arcade Pvt. Ltd.,
Jasper House, 1st Floor, Near Air India Building,
Anand Nagar, New Link Road, Oshiwara,
Jogeshwari (West), Mumbai 400 060

2. Sushma A. Deodhar of M/s. Deodhar Associates,
106, Siddhi Vinayak Apartment,
Near Rajpurai Baug Hall, N.P. Thakkar Road,
Vile Parle (East), Mumbai 400 057.

... Respondents

ORDER

(Passed on - 11-10-2018)

Due to in-efficiency and non-performance of the Respondents, this Authority has previously issued notice of hearing dated 14.10.2013 to the Respondents as per law laid down in order dated 07.02.2013, passed by the Hon'ble High Court in Appeal from Order No.1019 of 2010 (Ravi Ashish Land Developers Pvt. Ltd. V/s. Mr. Prakash P. Kamble & Ors). As per the hearing notice dated 14.10.2013, the hearing took place before the then CEO/SRA on 22.10.2013 & on various occasions from time to time giving opportunities to the parties.

In the Writ Petition No. 44 of 2016, the Hon'ble High Court has passed an order dated 27.04.2018 and directed to conclude the said proceeding for change of Developer, after hearing all concerned, within a period of 8 weeks

[Handwritten signature]

11-10-18

from the date of the said order. Pursuant to the said directions the notices dated 17.05.2018 were issued to the Applicant as well as Developer to remain present before this Authority on 04.06.2018.

FACTS IN BRIEF

Occupants/slum dwellers residing on plot of land bearing CTS No.61 (pt), 61 /200 to 426, admeasuring about 7900.15 sq. mtrs. of Village Majas, Jogeshwari (East), Taluka Andheri have formed society in the name of Shree Ganesh Heramb CHS (Prop.), i.e. the Applicant and appointed M/s. Prime Arcade Pvt. Ltd., the Respondent No.1 as their Developer, by resolution.

Pursuant to appointment the Respondent No.1 submitted the proposal to Slum Rehabilitation Authority and the same was accepted on 03.05.2006. The land under subject SR Scheme is owned by MCGM. Thereafter the proposal was forwarded to the Assistant Commissioner, K/East Ward, MCGM to draft Annexure II for the purpose of deciding eligibility of slum dwellers of the subject SR Scheme. Since the eligibility was not decided within reasonable time and the certified Annexure - II was not issued by the Assistant Commissioner, K/East Ward, MCGM, therefore Shree Ganesh CHS (Prop.) and Ors. filed Application No.1116 of 2009 before the Hon'ble High Power Committee seeking directions and orders that the Assistant Commissioner, K/East Ward, MCGM be directed to issue certified Annexure II.

The Hon'ble High Power Committee allowed the said Application and passed order dated 27.11.2009 directing the Assistant Commissioner, K/East Ward, MCGM to issue certified Annexure - II within 8 weeks. The SRA has sent letter dated 18.01.2010 to Respondent No.1 to submit necessary documents. before the Assistant Commissioner, K/East Ward, MCGM. However, since the Assistant Commissioner, K/East Ward, MCGM did not comply with the aforesaid order dated 27.11.2009. Thereafter Shree Ganesh CHS (Prop.) and Ors. moved again before the Hon'ble HPC against the Assistant Commissioner, K/East Ward, MCGM for non-compliance of order dated 27.11.2009.



Thereafter the Hon'ble High Power Committee on 15.02.2014 issued directions against the Assistant Commissioner, K/East Ward, MCGM to submit report about the progress of bio-metric survey regarding subject SR Scheme. The SRA once again sent letter dated 16.02.2015 to the Assistant Commissioner, K/East Ward, MCGM requesting to issue Annexure II in the subject SR Scheme.

Due to inefficiency and non-performance of Respondent No.1, this Authority previously issued notice of hearing dated 14.10.2013. As per the notice dated 14.10.2013, the hearing took place before the then CEO/SRA on 22.10.2013 & on various occasions. During the hearing on 16.09.2016, the then CEO/SRA had directed that meeting of all eligible slum dwellers of the Applicant society shall be held within one month in the presence of representative of Co-operative department of SRA to ascertain as to whether Respondent No.1 has 70% consent of slum dwellers of Applicant society. Accordingly the Authorized Officer of Co-operative Department of SRA has issued letter dated 12.10.2016 for conducting General Body Meeting of eligible slum dwellers of Applicant society.

Meanwhile, the Respondent No.1 filed the Writ Petition No. 44 of 2017 wherein the Hon'ble High Court has passed order dated 10.10.2016 and ad-interim stay of the aforesaid meeting dated 12.10.2016 was granted. Therefore, during hearing on 19.12.2016, it was decided that the next date of hearing before the then CEO/SRA will be given after the order of the Hon'ble High Court in the said Writ Petition No. 44 of 2017. In the aforesaid Writ Petition No. 44 of 2017, the Hon'ble High Court has finally passed an order dated 27.04.2018 and directed to conclude the said proceeding for change of Developer, after hearing all concerned, within a period of 8 weeks from the date of the said order.

Pursuant to the aforesaid direction finally this authority heard the matter on 04.06.2018. After hearing the parties at length, the matter was



closed for order with directions to file written statement within period of 15 days.

HEARING:

Sunil Vetkar (President), Ghanshyam Indup (Secretary), Satyawar Talekar, Satyawar Naik, Satish Sawant, Subhash Apate, Balkrishna Parab (Members) appeared for Applicant society. The Applicant had also submitted their written submission on 18.06.2018. Mohd. Aslam alongwith Adv. Minal Chavan for Respondent No.1, Developer were present. Respondent No.1 filed their written submission on 18.06.2018. Both the parties argued their matter.

ARGUMENTS OF APPLICANT SOCIETY VIZ. SHREE GANESH HERAMB CHS (Prop.).

By the representation dated 01.06.2016, the Applicant stated that, since the year 2005, the Respondent neither conducted any meeting with the members of the Applicant nor started the work of construction, therefore the residents/members of the Applicant terminated the appointment of Respondent No.1 in General Body Meeting dated 15.05.2016.

The Applicant in their written statement dated 18.06.2018 stated that, Respondent No.1 by making false allegation against the MCGM delayed the subject SR Scheme for more than 12 years. The Respondent No.1 obtained the consent of the slum dwellers in the year 2005-2006 through coercion, force and fraudulent representation and used all the wrong means to obtain the consent from the slum dwellers. Three different societies were formed by the various slum dwellers residing on the said property i.e. Shree Ganesh CHS (Prop.) and Heramb CHS (Prop.) and the third society being Shree Mangalmurti CHS (Prop.) which were subsequently merged to form Applicant society

The Applicant stated that they gave their respective consents in favour of the Respondent No.1 for implementation of the subject SR Scheme under DCR 33(10), however no consent was given by the members of the Shree Mangalmurti CHS (Prop.). Respondent No.1 could not get the signatures of



25

members of Mangalmurti CHS (Prop.) and included them in the 30% non-consenting members and forcibly included them in the scheme with mala fide intention. Dave Compound Rahivasi Mandal (later on came to be known as Shree Mangalmurti CHS (Prop.) is consisting of 72 members, which is made part of the SR Scheme proposal submitted by the Respondent No.1. The Rahivasi Mandal made a complaints to the then Housing Minister informing that someone has submitted the proposal of the SR Scheme without the consents of the members of the said Mandal (Society) and survey was also conducted by them showing themselves as Government Officers.

The Applicant stated that, the SRA vide letter dated 29.04.2008 directed Respondent No.2 the Architect to pay premium at the rate of 25% of Ready Reckoner Rate within a period of 8 days in respect of the subject SR Scheme but the Respondent No.1 has failed to pay the said mandatory land premium to the SRA.

The Applicant stated that Application No. 1116 of 2009 was filed before the Hon'ble HPC seeking direction against the Competent Authority to comply with the letter dated 18.05.2006 issued by SRA to decide the eligibility of slum dwellers of the subject SR Scheme and issue Annexure II as early as possible. The Hon'ble HPC by its order dated 27.11.2009 directed the Competent Authority and MCGM to carry out survey in respect of issuance of Annexure II as per new policy of the Government expeditiously and issue certified Annexure II within 8 week from the date of order.

The Applicant stated that, SRA vide various letters informed the Respondent No.1 about the deficiencies in the draft Annexure II and further called them to give say on the documents which were not matching with the available records with Competent Authority. The MCGM has framed its policies from time to time in respect of issuance of Annexure II and NOCs for implementation of the SR Scheme on the MCGM owned properties and even to that effect issued various circulars. As per the said circulars it was mandatory that the concern Developer is required to obtain NOC from the



[Handwritten signature]

Estate Department of the MCGM and unless and until the NOC is obtained, no Annexure II will be issued to them. Even then the Respondent No.1 not obtained NOC from the Estate Department of MCGM for issuance of Annexure II.

The Applicant stated that, they have cancelled Development Agreement and Consent Letter granted in favour of Respondent No.1 and all acts, deeds and things in pursuance thereto. That one Shree Chintamani CHS (Prop.) also vide letters informed the then CEO/SRA that they have lost faith and confidence in the Respondent No.1 and therefore the members of Applicant cancelled and terminated all the earlier consent letters, agreement etc. executed in favour of Respondent No.1. The Applicant requested to stop the bio metric survey in view of the letter dated 18.05.2006, 30.03.2010 and order of Hon'ble HPC dated 29.11.2009 and letter dated 19.05.2011 pertaining to the conducting of the bio metric Survey.

The Applicant stated that the MCGM conducted bio metric Survey on 14.10.2011, 15.10.2011, 28.11.2011 and 29.11.2011. Advocate for the Applicant vide letter dated 14.05.2012 requested the CEO/SRA to cancel the proposal for SR Scheme submitted by the Respondent No.1. The SRA also requested the MCGM for issuance of the Annexure II. The matter filed by the Society came before the CEO/SRA for hearing on various occasion, however the same did not take place due to unavailability of the Hon'ble CEO/SRA on those particular dates.

The Applicant stated that vide letter dated 07.02.2015 they informed the Assistant Municipal Commissioner that there is no consent of them for the development of the said property in favour of Respondent No.1 and Developer did not have mandatory consent of 70% of the members. The MCGM filed primary Bio Metric Report dated 14.09.2015 which states that the Bio Metric Survey was conducted on 14.10.2011, 15.10.2011, 28.11.2011 & 29.11.2011 and issued provisional draft Annexure II.



[Handwritten signature]

The Applicant stated that vide letter dated 27.10.2015, the MCGM informed the Hon'ble CEO/SRA that the final Annexure II can only be given once the NOC from Estate Department is received and till that time final Annexure II cannot be issued. The Hon'ble CEO/SRA vide letter dated 29.01.2016 directed the Respondent No.1 to obtain NOC from the Estate Department of MCGM within a period of 1 month and if Respondent No.1, fails to obtain NOC, then the proceeding u/s 13(2) of the Slum Act will be initiated against the Respondent No.1. After hearing all the concern parties CEO/SRA closed the matter for orders and thereafter order was passed directing to conduct Election for appointing new Managing Committee in the presence of Authorised officer.

The Applicant stated that the MCGM by notice dated 01.02.2016 directed eligible/non-eligible slum dwellers to submit their proofs and documents to consider their eligibility under the subject SR Scheme on or before 11.02.2016 at the office of MCGM. The SRA vide letter dated 16.04.2016 appointed an Authorised Officer for conducting elections of Chief Promoter and Managing Committee of the Applicant. Accordingly General Body Meeting of Applicant was held on 07.05.2016 in the presence of the Registrar appointed by the SRA to elect the Chief Promoter and other Committee members. 185 eligible members out of 304 eligible members as per the draft Annexure II of the MCGM attended the meeting. All 185 members voted for the new Chief Promoter and the Committee Members. The Applicant Society by letter dated 15.05.2016 informed the Hon'ble CEO/SRA that nothing has been done by the Respondent No.1 for the past 11 years, even he doesn't have mandatory continuous 70 % consent of the eligible slum dwellers and even new elected Committee have resolved to terminate the appointment of the Respondent No.1 as a Developer in respect of the subject SR Scheme.



The Applicant Society vide letter dated 16.05.2016 informed the Hon'ble CEO/SRA about Committee elected on 07.05.2016 and also sought permission to change the rubber stamp, letter heads and address.

The Special General Body Meeting of the Applicant society conducted on 12.10.2016 and in the said meeting, out of 304 eligible slum dwellers 268 were present and out of the said 268 slum dwellers, 195 voted against the Respondent No.1, while only 71 consented in favour of Respondent No.1 (and 2 votes are cancelled). Thus it is established beyond doubt that the Respondent No.1 has lost mandatory 70 % consent of eligible slum dwellers for implementation of subject SR Scheme.

The Applicant stated that, Respondent No.1 is not at all interested in implementation of the subject SR Scheme and there is inordinate and wilful delay at the hands of the Respondent No.1 in taking single steps towards the implementation of subject SR Scheme. The Applicant further stated that the there was various correspondence with the SRA and the MCGM showing the dissent of the members of the society in continuation of the Respondent No.1 and further for cancellation of the appointment of the Respondent No.1 as a Developer for the implementation of the SR Scheme.

The Applicant society stated that the Respondent No.1 had not taken any effective steps in implementing the Scheme and failed to procure the mandatory 70 % consent during the Biometric survey carried out by the MCGM. If the Respondent No.1 is allowed to continue as the Developer of the subject property and implement the SR Scheme then great prejudice and loss will be caused to the various occupants residing on the subject property.

The Applicant stated that the Respondent No.1 in the Writ Petition No.44 of 2017 in the Hon'ble High Court at Bombay clarifies the stand of each of the authorities against the Respondent No.1. It is the settled principles of law and considering the provision of the amended DCR 33(10), Appendix IV, the Respondent No.1 is required to have continuous support of more than



[Handwritten signature]

70% of the eligible slum dwellers for implementation of the subject SR Scheme which the Respondent No.1 is not having.

ARGUMENT OF RESPONDENT NO.1 VIZ. M/S. PRIME ARCADE PVT. LTD.

The Respondent No.1, pursuant to the show cause notice dated 22.10.2013 submitted written submissions dated 31.10.2013 and stated that they are trying their best efforts to obtain Annexure II from the Competent Authority and there is no delay on their part in taking steps for implementation of subject SR Scheme.

The Respondent No.1 Developer in their written submission dated 04.06.2018 & 18.06.2018 stated that, resident of the subject property have formed the proposed Applicant society and have passed resolutions to appoint them as Developer. The Committee members of the Applicant have granted Development rights by executing Affidavits, Irrevocable General Power Attorney, common consents in their favour.

The Respondent No.1 further stated that alongwith the said proposal, they submitted draft Annexure II to the SRA. Since the slum property owned by MCGM, the SRA vide letter dated 18.05.2006 forwarded draft Annexure II to the Assistant Municipal Commissioner, being Competent Authority for verification, approval and clarification of the Annexure II. In or about the year 2006, serious allegations made by the members of the public against the officers of MCGM, MHADA, State Government about corruption and corrupt practice adopted in deciding eligibility of the occupants and issuance of Annexure II certificate, therefore Assistant Municipal Commissioner took a policy decision not to issue Annexure II certificate. Therefore the Application No.1116 of 2009 before the Hon'ble HPC praying directions to comply with directives as contained in letter dated 18.05.2006 issued by SRA requiring the Assistant Municipal Commissioner to decide eligibility of slum dwellers and to issue Annexure II certificate. The Hon'ble HPC pleased to direct the Assistant Municipal Commissioner, being Competent Authority, to carry out survey for Annexure II within 8 weeks from the date of said order.



✓

The Respondent No.1 stated that, after the filling of the said Application No.1116 of 2009, before the Hon'ble HPC in the month of June, 2009, both the society granted Development Agreement and Irrevocable Power of Attorney in favour of Respondent No.1. Pursuant to the order dated 27.11.2009 passed by the Hon'ble HPC, the Competent Authority called upon the Applicant and Respondents to submit fresh Individual Consents and other necessary documents. Therefore in the year 2010, the Respondent No.1 once again obtained Affidavit cum Declaration of 277 numbers of the Applicant society.

The Respondent No.1 stated that, they filed Contempt Application in the said Application No.1116 of 2009 before the Hon'ble HPC to take action against the Assistant Municipal Commissioner as he had neither decided eligibility of the said slum dwellers nor issued Annexure II. Contempt Application filed in the said Application No.1116 of 2009 was decided and directions was given to issue Annexure II. There is no delay caused on the part of Respondent No.1, the issuance of Annexure II is entirely in the hands of Assistant Municipal Commissioner, who for the reasons best known to him, has delayed the issuance of Annexure II.

The Respondent No.1 stated that, notice dated 21.01.2016 was issued to call upon the Respondent No.1 to appear for hearing. During the hearing held in pursuant to notice issued u/s 13 (2) of the Slum Act, the M/s. Prime Arcade Pvt. Ltd. have placed on records the orders dated 27.11.2009 & 16.07.2011 passed by Hon'ble HPC. After hearing the parties the then CEO/SRA has passed an order dated 29.01.2016 directing M/s. Prime Arcade Pvt. Ltd. to obtain NOC of MCGM within one month and failing which proceedings u/s 13 (2) of Slum Act will be initiated against Respondent No.1. Since the period of one month is humanly impossible to obtain Estate NOC of MCGM, as there is lots of compliance required to be done before obtaining NOC from Estate Department of MCGM.

The Respondent No.1 stated that, the then CEO/SRA on 16.09.2016 directed the Assistant Registrar - C.S. to call General Body Meeting of



occupants of the Applicant society for verification of 70% consents. In the meantime, vide letter dated 19.09.2016, the office of the Assessment Assessor & collector, K/east Ward, Andheri instead of permitting M/s. Prime Arcade Pvt. Ltd. to pay the outstanding, if any, M/s. Prime Arcade Pvt. Ltd. were called upon by the office of Assessment Assessor & Collector K/East Ward to submit certain other documents and to provide some alleged information to its department.

The Respondent No.1 stated that, they approached Hon'ble High Court in Writ Petition No.44 of 2017 to raise grievance and seeking and quashing show cause notice dated 09.09.2016 issued by SRA u/s 13 (2) of the Slum Act. The said Writ Petition was disposed on 27.04.2018, by passing detailed order, thereby giving liberty to M/s. Prime Arcade Pvt. Ltd. to appear before this Authority and point out how their appointment is not liable to be cancelled. Therefore the M/s. Prime Arcade Pvt. Ltd. stated that neither any deliberate or wilful delay on their part to commence the said slum project nor any negligence in the matter. However mischief is played by Assistant Municipal Commissioner in delaying the issuance of certification of the Annexure II and deliberate delaying in issuing NOC by the Estate Department of MCGM, despite continuous follow-up by Respondent No.1.

The Respondent No.1 stated that they are working on the project with clear mind and bonafide intention since last about 12 years and have also spent huge amount by way of monetary consideration. Due to the intervention of the powerful Politician of the locality has persistently managed to influence the office the Assistant Municipal Commissioner to see that no required permissions and NOC is given to M/s. Prime Arcade Pvt. Ltd. The Respondent No.1 therefore prayed to recall, cancel and withdrawn the show cause notice and permit to complete the said project. The Respondent No.1 further prayed to this Authority to give directions to the Assistant Municipal Commissioner K/East Ward to issue the requisite Annexure II and also the Estate NOC.



The Respondent No.1 stated that they were deeply interested in implementing the subject SR Scheme and there was neither any delay on their part nor had they defaulted in any manner. The Respondent No.1 further stated that the issuance of Annexure II was not within their control and the same was entirely in the hands of the Assistant Municipal Commissioner, who for the reason best known to them, has delayed the issuance of Annexure II.

The Respondent No.1 stated that concerned project officer of Asst. Municipal Commissioner, Mr. Satish Pawar advised them to contact local politician for early issuance of Annexure II, which were quiet surprising statement from a Government officials. The Respondent No.1 further stated that from the above follow up it would be clear that the delay in getting the Annexure II was not on account of them and they have made sincere efforts to obtain Annexure II for kick start of the project.

ISSUES:-

The issues involved for determination are:

- (1) As to whether there is nonperformance and inordinate delay on the part of the Respondent developer while implementing the subject S.R. Scheme?
- (2) Whether action under section 13(2) of Maharashtra Slum Areas (I, C & R) Act, 1971 should be taken against the Respondent Developer?

DISCUSSIONS:-

The facts and pleading summarized above clearly shows that the present scheme was submitted in the year 2006. The draft Annexure II was submitted to MCGM on 18.05.2006. However, Annexure II has not been certified till date. As yet LOI has also not be issued in favour of the Respondent No.1 Developer. The grievance of Applicant Society is mainly on the point that no effective steps has been taken by the Respondent No.1. Delay of more than 12 years is fact apparent on record.

It is the responsibility of Developer to see that the scheme is implemented by taking effective steps. Apparently, the Developer failed to



Handwritten signature or mark.

get even NOC from MCGM. No doubt he has been obtained direction from the Hon'ble HPC. By order dated 27.12.2009, directing Assistant Commissioner K/E Ward, MCGM to issue certified Annexure II within 8 weeks. SRA has also sent letter dated 18.01.2010 to Respondent No.1 to submit necessary document of the said Authority. It is not made clear as to which steps were taken to get the NOC as well as the Certified Annexure II by Respondent No.1. The Respondent No.1 Developer has not made it clear as to how he had made compliance of letter of SRA dated 18.01.2010. The Developer is blaming the political leaders and also claims that MCGM is acting under the influence of such politicians. This submission on his part shows that he is not in a position to secure NOC or get the Certified Annexure II.

Considering all this aspects of the matter the then Hon'ble CEO/SRA had heard the Developer and the society and issued direction by letter dated 29.01.2016 to obtain NOC from Estate Department of MCGM within period of 1 month. It was also indicated that on failure proceeding u/s 13(2) of Slum Act would be initiated.

Admittedly the Respondent Developer has not complied with the direction. On the other hand pleaded 1 month time was not sufficient to take NOC as many compliances were required. It is nowhere mentions as to what efforts were made by the Developer to take NOC before direction was issued by the Hon'ble CEO and after the said direction. Merely blaming the Authorities is not the proper explanation to show that Developer had taken the steps and he is not responsible for delay. Moreover, his inaction after the letter of CEO/SRA dated 11.02.2016 clearly shows that he is not in a position to take the scheme forwarded in any manner. The Opportunities is given in 2016 by the then CEO/SRA has also not be appropriately availed by the Respondent Developer. In these case it is pertinent to note that the Developer has no grievance against society members or slum dwellers. From the facts pleaded by the Developer, it appears that considering the inordinate delay the Society resolved to redevelop the present developer and



✓

appoint new one by GBR held on 12.10.2016 in the said General Body Meeting 268 slum dwellers out of total number of 304 were present. Out of them 195 have voted against Respondent No.1 and 71 slum dwellers voted in favor of Respondent No.1. This shows the majority of eligible members have also shown their intention to change the developer. Considering the Developer inability to take even the preliminary steps for past more than 12 years and in order to get the scheme implemented at earliest, for rehabilitation of slum dwellers the appointment of Respondent No.1 as Developer is necessary.

Hence order,

ORDER

1. The termination of appointment of Respondent No.1 i.e. M/s. Prime Arcade Pvt. Ltd. in respect of the S.R. Scheme on plot of land bearing CTS No.61 (pt), 61 /200 to 426, admeasuring about 7900.15 sq. mtrs. of Village Majas, Jogeshwari (East), Taluka Andheri for Applicant society viz. Shree Ganesh Heramb CHS (Prop.) hereby approved.
2. The Applicant society is at liberty to appoint new Developer of their own choice within 90 days by obtaining General Body Resolution, as per law, rules, regulations and prevailing norms and policy of SRA, to complete the further implementation of subject S.R. Scheme.
3. The Engineering Department of SRA to appoint Government Approved Valuer for expenses legally incurred for the said Scheme by Respondent No.1 Developer & direct the newly appointed Developer to deposit the said assessed amount of compensation within 45 days of the date of final assessment of compensation by the Hon'ble CEO/SRA.

Date:

Place:

No.: SRA/DYCOL/04/2018/11680

Date:

11 OCT 2018



Chief Executive Officer
Slum Rehabilitation Authority

Copy to:

1. Shree Ganesh Heramb CHS (Prop.)
CTS No.61, 61/200 to 426 of Mauje Majas,
Taluka Andheri, 1/2, Deep Narayan Tiwari Chawl,
Tilakwadi, Opp. Shivsena Shakha, Meghwadi Naka,
Jogeshwari (East), Mumbai 400 060
2. M/s. Prime Arcade Pvt. Ltd.,
Jasper House, 1st Floor, Near Air India Building,
Anand Nagar, New Link Road, Oshiwara,
Jogeshwari (West), Mumbai 400 060
3. Sushma A. Deodhar of M/s. Deodhar Associates,
106, Siddhi Vinayak Apartment,
Near Rajpurai Baug Hall, N.P. Thakkar Road,
Vile Parle (East), Mumbai 400 057.
4. Dy. Collector (W.S.)/SRA.
5. Dy. Chief Engineer K-East Ward/SRA.
6. Executive Engineer (W.S.) K-East Ward/SRA.
7. Financial Controller/SRA
8. Joint Registrar C.S. (Eastern & Western Suburbs)/SRA
- ✓ 9. Information Technology Officer/SRA.
10. Chief Legal Consultant/SRA.

